



C A No. Applied For
Complaint No. 328/2023

In the matter of:

Pushpender Singh KunduComplainant

VERSUS

BSES Yamuna Power LimitedRespondent

Quorum:

1. Mr. P.K. Singh, Chairman
2. Mr. Nishat A Alvi, Member (CRM)
3. Mr. S.R. Khan, Member (Technical)
4. Mr. H.S. Sohal, Member

Appearance:

1. None present on behalf of the complainant
2. Ms. Ritu Gupta & Mr. R.S. Bisht, On behalf of BYPL

ORDER

Date of Hearing: 28th November, 2023




Date of Order: 04th December, 2023

Order Pronounced By:- Mr. S.R. Khan, Member (Technical)

1. The brief facts of the case giving rise to this grievance are that complainant Mr. Pushpendra Singh Kundu, applied for new electricity connection vide request no. 8006394040 at premises no.C-384, FF, Kh. No. 374, Gali No. 15, Bhajanpura, Delhi-110053 but respondent rejected his application for new connection on pretext of MCD objection. Therefore, his complaint for release of new connection may be granted.

Attested True Copy

Secretary
CGRF (BYPL)





  

Complaint No. 328/2023

2. OP in its reply briefly submitted that the complainant is seeking fresh electricity connection for first floor of property bearing no. C-384, Kh. No. 374, Gali No. 15, Bhajanpura, Delhi-110053 vide application no. 8006394042 and deficiency letter was issued for the reason as applied address was found in MCD objection list forwarded to respondent vide letter no. EE(B)-I/SH-N/2019/D-148 dated 23.09.219. As per the said list in respect of subject property unauthorized construction is 'in the shape of entire ground floor, first floor (approximately area = 50 sq yards). OP further added that subject premises consists of Ground floor plus one floor having one electricity meter bearing CA No. 101325397 in name of the complainant energized in 12.02.2007. As alleged by the complainant that his premises falls in Gali no. 15 and the premises booked by MCD falls in Gali no. 16. Also there are multiple premises having same address.

The site of the complainant was visited and only one premises having no. C-384, there is no such house in Gali no. 16, so it can easily be presumed that subject premises, which is also of 50 sq yards is the premises which is booked by MCD and no electricity connection is given to said premises after year 2007.

3. The counsel of the complainant in its rejoinder rebutted the contentions of OP as averred in their reply and reiterated his original complaint. It was also submitted that there are multiple premises of same address i.e. C-384, Gali No. 15, Bhajanpura, Delhi and CA no. 101191672, 101200749, 150349732 and 151603214 are installed in the applied premises.

2 of 3


Attested True Copy



Secretary


CORF (BYPL)

Complaint No. 328/2023

4. The matter was listed for hearing on 19.10.2023, 07.11.2023 and finally on 28.11.2023, when none was present on behalf of the complainant.
5. Therefore, we are of considered opinion that the complainant is no longer interested in pursuing the matter in the forum. Thus, the case is dismissed as default.
6. No order as to the cost. Both the parties should be informed accordingly.
7. Proceedings closed.


(H.S. SOHAL)
MEMBER


(NISHAT A ALVI)
MEMBER (CRM)


(S.R. KHAN) / 23
MEMBER (TECH.)


(P.K. SINGH)
CHAIRMAN

3 of 3

Attested True Copy

Secretary
CGRF (BYPL)